

Edward M. Bell

against

Edwards Wells Grant or of Amy Grant dec?

Plff.

Upon a writ of Habeas Corpus.

Def.

1888

Sept.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant withdrawing his former plea such he cannot gaining the plaintiffs having execution against him for the debt and costs in the writ of Habeas Corpus. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff have execution against the defendant for One hundred and twenty seven dollars and eighty five cents the debt and \$5.85 costs the costs on this writ of Habeas Corpus and also that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his costs by him expended in suing forth and prosecuting this writ. To be paid of the goods and chattels of the defendant in the hands of the defendant to be administered. And the said defendant in Henry J. Bell of the goods and chattels of the defendant in the hands of the defendant to be administered. But this judgment is to be discharged by the payment of Twenty eight dollars and twenty five cents with legal interest thereon from the 12th day of September 1828 till paid and \$5.85 costs and the costs.

Levi Waller

against

Joseph T. Hyman

Plff.

In Debt

Def.

1892

Sept.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant withdrawing his former plea such he cannot gaining the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Twenty five dollars and forty five cents with interest from the 2nd day of August 1826 till paid the debt and interest as the declaration mentioned and his costs by him about his suit as this behalf expended. And the said defendant in Henry J.

L. L. Parsons

against

John H. Henry

Plff.

In Debt

Def.

1892

Sept.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant withdrawing his former plea such he cannot gaining the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Twenty seven dollars and twenty eight cents with interest thereon from the 25th day of January 1842 till paid the debt and interest as the declaration mentioned and his costs by him about his suit as this behalf expended. And the said defendant in Henry J.

John R. B. Randolph

against

P. H. Bellinger

Plff.

In Debt

Def.

1871

Sept.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant withdrawing his former plea such he cannot gaining the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Twenty five dollars and twenty five cents with interest thereon from the 15th day of July 1862 till paid the debt and interest as the declaration mentioned and his costs by him about his suit as this behalf expended. And the said defendant in Henry J.

John H. Holland

against

P. H. Bellinger

Plff.

In Debt

Def.

1871

Sept.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant withdrawing his former plea such he cannot gaining the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant thirty five dollars and twenty five cents with interest thereon from the 15th day of November 1862 till paid the debt